SUBJECT: Sample computation on determining the reasonable rate of return (RROR) for the toll road industry

Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC)

- 1. The cost of capital is the rate of return that debt/equity investors would require as compensation for its capital contribution on a project or a business. This is also the return that debt/equity investors would expect to earn for taking on a project or investment of comparable risk. However, if a project/business generates returns less than the cost of capital, then investors would be unlikely to take on the project/business, as they could receive higher returns from other alternative projects/businesses with a similar risk profile.
- 2. A business or project must generate an internal rate of return (IRR) at least equal to the cost of capital to be considered financially viable. WACC is calculated by proportionately weighting the cost of capital of lenders/debt investors and equity investors. Furthermore, WACC is used in financial modeling as the discount rate to calculate the net present value (NPV) of a project.¹
- 3. The method for estimating the cost of capital is expressed by the weighted sum of the cost of equity (K_E) and cost of debt (K_D) , as follows:

$$WACC = \left(\left(K_D \times \frac{D}{A}\right) \times (1-t)\right) + \left(K_E \times \frac{E}{A}\right)$$

Table 1. WACC variables

Variable	Description			
WACC	Weighted average cost of capital is the minimum rate of return that a			
	business/project must generate to be considered financially viable.			
K_D	Cost of debt is the rate of return that a company/bank provides to its			
	debtholders and creditors.			
K_{E}	Cost of equity is the rate of return on equity that shareholders may require			
	in order to compensate for the risk of investing in a project. The cost of			
	equity can be calculated using Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM). ²			

WACC formula, definition and uses - guide to cost of capital. (2020, March 1). Retrieved January 2021, from https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/knowledge/finance/what-is-wacc-formula/.

² CAPM is one of the several approaches that can be used in financial markets to quantify and translate risk into estimates of expected required return of an asset.

Variable	Description
D	Debt is the sum of all short- and long-term liabilities incurred by a company when running its business or implementing its projects. The debt ratio is calculated by getting the total debt and dividing by the total asset of the company.
	In the Philippine context, the book value of debt is recommended due to lack of financial data (e.g., insufficient data to determine the market value of debt considering that bulk of which was provided by commercial banks), and also considering that the country's stock market is driven by foreign funds.
E	Equity is the shareholder's equity representing the residual value of the company's assets minus its total liabilities. The equity ratio is calculated by getting the total shareholder's equity and dividing by the total asset of the company.
	In the Philippine context, the book value of shareholder's equity is recommended due to the illiquidity of bonds (e.g., listed corporate bonds are very illiquid).
A	Asset is the sum of the total short- and long-term liabilities and total shareholder's equity, i.e., Asset = Debt (Liabilities) + Equity (Shareholder's equity).
t	Tax rate is an allowable deduction from taxable income (e.g., corporate income tax rate).

4. The succeeding items provide the detailed explanation on some of the common methodologies that the Secretariat may consider in determining each variable of WACC (i.e., K_D, K_E, debt-to-equity ratio, tax rate).

Estimating the cost of debt (K_D),
$$\left[WACC = \left(\left(K_D \times \frac{D}{A}\right) \times (1-t)\right) + \left(K_E \times \frac{E}{A}\right)\right]$$

5. The cost of debt (K_D) is the rate of return that a company (e.g., lenders, banks) provides to its debtholders and creditors for any risk exposure that comes with lending money. The Secretariat may get the banks' actual indicative loan rate based on current market conditions for a project through market sounding. Notwithstanding, the following are some of the common approaches that the Secretariat may also consider in estimating the cost of debt (K_D):

Table 2. Methods in estimating cost of debt (KD)

	Method	Description			
a.	Yield to	This is the hypothetical rate of return or interest rate of a fixed-rate			
ļ .	maturity	security, such as bonds, that an investor would earn from investing in			
	(YTM) ³	a bond and reinvesting every coupon at said rate. YTM enables			
	,	investors to draw comparisons between different securities and its			
		respective returns by understanding how changes in market			
		·	e investors' portfolios.		
		One of the limitati	ons, however, of using YTM is the availability of		
		bond information i	if the company is not publicly listed and/or not		
		actively trading.			
b.	Credit	This is the credit rat	ing assigned by credit rating agencies such as S&P,		
	rating ⁴	<u> </u>	, which may be used in estimating the cost of debt		
		<u> </u>	ing YTM of bonds with the same credit rating and		
		•	there may be difficulties in getting available credit		
		_	if the companies are not rated and/or bonds are		
	Danast	not actively traded.			
c.	Recent		cs at the most recent borrowings made by the		
	borrowing	companies from banks and other financial institutions to estimate the credit spread to be used in calculating the cost of debt, as follows:			
		credit spread to be	used in calculating the cost of debt, as follows.		
		I.	$T_D = R_F + Credit Spread$		
		•	y = R _F + Greatt Spread		
		Wherein:			
		Variable Description			
		R_F	Risk-free rate is the return on capital that		
			investors expect to receive on investment with		
			zero risk. The accepted estimate for this is the		
			long-term public sector bond rate.		
		Credit Spread	Credit spread is the difference in returns due		
			to different credit qualities. This can be		
			obtained from Philippine Dealing System		
		Holdings & Subsidiaries (PDS Group) website or			
		in Bloomberg Terminal. ⁵			
		This method, however, assumes a constant credit spread. Credit			
		spread is not static, thus, can change over time depending on th			
		market conditions. For instance, credit spreads increase during cri as lenders may require higher return to account for the risk due to t			
		uncertainty in future demand and a higher probability of default.			
1		uncertainty in future demand and a nigner probability of default.			

³ Cost of debt - how to calculate the cost of debt for a company. (2020, March 30). Retrieved April 26, 2021, from https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/knowledge/finance/cost-of-debt/

⁴ Ibid.

⁵ Bloomberg Terminal is a computer system that allows investors to access the Bloomberg data service, which provides real-time global financial data, news feeds, and messages.

Method		Description
d.	Imputed	This method looks at the imputed interest rate or the effective
	interest	interest rate (i.e., interest expense divided by the total debt) of
	rate	companies in the same industry and with similar leverage.

- 6. Using the recent borrowing method, the cost of debt (K_D) is calculated by determining the latest risk-free-rate and the credit spread, as follows:
 - a. Risk-free rate (R_F), [$K_D = R_F + Credit\ Spread$]. The latest PH BVAL Reference Rate can be gathered from the PDS website or the Bloomberg Terminal, as summarized in the table below:

Table 3. Risk-free rate (R_F)

	Source	Risk-free rate ⁶ (in percent)
i.	PDS website - 10-year R _F ⁷	4.1287
ii.	Bloomberg Terminal – BV10RPGB	4.1287

b. Credit spread, $[K_D = R_F + Credit Spread]$. The latest available loan rates issued to local toll concessionaires were gathered from the PDS Group website, as summarized in the table below:

Table 4. Credit spread

	Table 41 dieute spread				
	Issuance ⁸	Interest rate9	Tenor ¹⁰	Risk free (R_F)	Estimated credit
		(in percent)	(no. of years)	rate ¹¹ (in percent)	spread (in percent)
		A		В	A - B
i.	NLEX Corporation –	6.6407	7	6.2351	0.4056
	Fixed Rate Bonds Series			7-year R _F rate on	
	A Due 2025			July 4, 2018	
	(Issued on July 4, 2018)				
ii.	NLEX Corporation –	6.9000	10	6.3500	0.5500
	Fixed Rate Bonds Series			10-year R₅ rate on	
	B Due 2028			July 4, 2018	
	(Issued on July 4, 2018)				
				Median	0.4778

⁶ As of April 30, 2021

PHP BVAL Reference Rates – Benchmark Tenors. (n.d.). Retrieved April 30, 2021, from https://www.pds.com.ph/index.html%3Fpage_id=56432.html

Eisted Corporate Securities. (2021, March 31). Retrieved April 30, 2021, https://www.pds.com.ph/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Listed-Securities-as-of-March-31-2021.pdf

⁹ Ibid

¹⁰ Prospectus for the issued bonds (2018, June 20). Retrieved April 30, 2021, https://www.pds.com.ph/wpcontent/uploads/2018/07/22-NLEX-Corporation-Fixed-Rate-Bonds-due-2025-and-2028 final.pdf

¹¹ Philippine Treasury Reference Rates – PM (PDST-R2) from March 19, 2007 to October 26, 2018. Retrieved April 30, 2021, https://www.pds.com.ph/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/10-2018-PDST-R2-TABLE.pdf

7. **Cost of debt (K_D).** Using the estimated credit spread and the risk-free rate, the cost of debt (K_D) is calculated using the following formula:

$$K_D = R_F + Credit Spread$$

Table 5. Cost of debt (K_D) computation

Variable	Risk-free rate, R _F	Credit Spread	Cost of debt
	(10-year R₅ rate as	(Estimated credit spread	(K _D)
	of April 2021)	as of July 2018)	
	Α	В	A + B
Rate (in percent)	4.1287	0.4778	4.6065

As mentioned in Table 2, credit spread can change over time depending on the market conditions, thus, it is best to gather the most recent loan rates from local toll concessionaires for a more robust estimate of the cost of debt. Notwithstanding, the calculated cost of debt (K_D) that may be used in the computation of cost of capital is **4.61 percent**.

Estimating the cost of equity, (K_E)
$$\left[WACC = \left(\left(K_D \times \frac{D}{A}\right) \times (1-t)\right) + \left(K_E \times \frac{E}{A}\right)\right]$$

8. The cost of equity (K_E) is the rate of return on equity that shareholders may require in order to compensate for the risk of investing in a project. The cost of equity can be calculated according to the risk level of the company by using CAPM with the following formula:

$$K_E = R_F + (\beta_E x ERP)$$

Table 6. Cost of equity (K_E) variables

Variable	Description			
K_E	Cost of equity is the rate of return on equity that shareholders may			
W.Y	require in order to compensate for the risk of investing in a project.			
	This is the required return on assets commensurate with level of			
	associated systematic risk.			
R_F	Risk-free rate is the return on capital that investors expect to receive			
	on investment with zero risk. The accepted estimate for this is the long-			
	term Public Sector Bond rate.			
$oldsymbol{eta_E}$	Beta of equity measures the volatility of the returns of an equity			
	security in relation to the performance of the overall market. This			
	captures the degree of systematic risk of a company/project.			
ERP	Expected Risk Premium (ERP) is the required premium over the risk-			
	free rate for investors to invest equity on a business or project instead			
	of government bonds. This is the difference between $oldsymbol{R_F}$ and the $oldsymbol{R_M}$,			
	i.e., the expected or average market return.			

- a. Risk-free rate (R_F), $[K_E = R_F + (\beta_E \times ERP)]$. The same Philippine BVAL Reference Rate as used in item 6.a [i.e., in the computation of the cost of debt (K_D)] will also be used in calculating the cost of equity (K_E).
- b. Beta of equity (β_E), [$K_E = R_F + (\beta_E \times ERP)$]. The pure-play method is an approach used by financial analysts to estimate the beta coefficient of companies whose stock is not publicly traded. As such, noting that local toll concessionaires that venture in the financing, designing, constructing, and operating toll expressway projects in the Philippines are not publicly listed nor actively trade in the Philippine Stock Exchange (PSE), the pure-play method will then be used to find other comparable publicly traded companies and adjust their betas for financial leverage differences, as follows:

Pure-play method

i. Step 1. Select comparable toll companies. Table 7 provides the list of comparable toll expressway concessionaires within the same industries (i.e., companies with highways, expressways, toll, bridge, tunnel management as primary industry) from emerging markets¹² in the Asia Pacific Region, which would be considered in estimating the beta of equity.

Table 7. Toll expressway concessionaires in the Asia Pacific Region

Country		Company name		
China/	1.	Anhui Expressway Co Ltd		
Hongkong	2.	Anhui Gourgen Traffic Construction Co Ltd		
	3.	Chengdu Expressway Co Ltd		
	China Communications Construction Co Ltd			
	5.	Chongqing Construction Engineering Group Corp Ltd		
	6.	Chongqing Road & Bridge Co Ltd		
	7.	City Development Environment Co Ltd		
	8.	Dongguan Development Holdings Co Ltd		
	9.	Fujian Expressway Development Co Ltd		
	10.	Guangdong Provincial Expressway Development Co Ltd		
	11.	Guangxi Wuzhou Communications Co Ltd		
	12.	Henan Zhongyuan Expressway Co Ltd		
	13.	Huayu Expressway Group Ltd		
	14.	Hubei Chutian Smart Communication Co Ltd		
	15.	Hunan Investment Group Co Ltd		
	16.	Jiangsu Expressway Co Ltd		

¹² Based on the Bloomberg Industry Classification Standard (BICS) wherein publicly listed companies were organized into consistent peer groups according to specific activities and risk categories.

NEDA Infrastructure Staff

Country	Company name		
	17. Jiangxi Ganyue Expressway Co Ltd		
	18. Shandong Hi-speed Co Ltd		
	19. Shenzhen Expressway Co Ltd		
	20. Sichuan Expressway Co Ltd		
	21. Zhejiang Expressway Co Ltd		
India	22. Dilip Buildcon Ltd		
	23. IRB Infrastructure Developers Ltd		
	24. KNR Constructions Ltd		
	25. MEP Infrastructure Developers Ltd		
Indonesia	26. Citra Marga Nusaphala Persada Tbk PT		
	27. Jasa Marga Persero Tbk PT		
	28. Nusantara Infrastructure Tbk PT		
Malaysia	29. Lingkaran Trans Kota Holdings Bhd		
Thailand	30. Bangkok Expressway & Metro PCL		
Vietnam	31. CII Bridges & Roads Joint Stock Company (JSC)		
	32. Cuongthuan Investment Corp		
	33. Hai Van Tunnel Management and Operation JSC		
	34. IDICO Infrastructure Development Investment JSC		
	35. Tasco JSC		

ii. Step 2. Estimate the comparables' beta. The levered betas 13 (β_L) measured over five years (e.g., from April 2016 to April 2021 as used in this exercise) of the comparable toll companies were obtained from the Bloomberg Terminal, as summarized in **Table 8**.

Table 8. Levered betas (β_L) of toll expressway concessionaires in the Asia Pacific Region

	Company name	Levered beta (β _L)
1.	Anhui Expressway Co Ltd	0.82
2.	Anhui Gourgen Traffic Construction Co Ltd	1.16
3.	Chengdu Expressway Co Ltd	0.42
4.	China Communications Construction Co Ltd	0.93
5.	Chongqing Construction Engineering Group Corp Ltd	1.11
6.	Chongqing Road & Bridge Co Ltd	0.99
7.	City Development Environment Co Ltd	1.01
8.	Dongguan Development Holdings Co Ltd	0.93
9.	Fujian Expressway Development Co Ltd	0.77
10.	Guangdong Provincial Expressway Development Co Ltd	0.55
11.	Guangxi Wuzhou Communications Co Ltd	1.00
12.	Henan Zhongyuan Expressway Co Ltd	0.97
13.	Huayu Expressway Group Ltd	0.49

Levered beta (a.k.a. equity beta) is used to compare the volatility of returns of a company's stock against the international market. This measures the risk while taking into consideration the impact of the company's capital structure and leverage.

-

	Company name	Levered beta (β_L)
14.	Hubei Chutian Smart Communication Co Ltd	0.82
15.	Hunan Investment Group Co Ltd	0.87
16.	Jiangsu Expressway Co Ltd	0.60
17.	Jiangxi Ganyue Expressway Co Ltd	0.84
18.	Shandong Hi-speed Co Ltd	0.89
19.	Shenzhen Expressway Co Ltd	0.76
20.	Sichuan Expressway Co Ltd	0.82
21.	Zhejiang Expressway Co Ltd	0.93
22.	Citra Marga Nusaphala Persada Tbk PT	0.50
23.	Jasa Marga Persero Tbk PT	1.22
24.	Nusantara Infrastructure Tbk PT	0.87
25.	Dilip Buildcon Ltd	1.10
26.	IRB Infrastructure Developers Ltd	1.22
27.	KNR Constructions Ltd	0.87
28.	MEP Infrastructure Developers Ltd	1.05
29.	Lingkaran Trans Kota Holdings Bhd	0.57
30.	Bangkok Expressway & Metro PCL	0.97
31.	CII Bridges & Roads JSC	0.42
32.	Cuongthuan Investment Corp	0.66
33.	Hai Van Tunnel Management and Operation JSC	0.95
34.	IDICO Infrastructure Development Investment JSC	0.54
35.	Tasco JSC	1.00

Table 8 shows that 9 out of 35 comparable toll expressway concessionaires have levered betas (β_L) greater than one, which means that these companies' stock returns react disproportionately to the market (i.e., riskier/more volatile than the other companies).

iii. Step 3. Unlever the comparables' beta. The levered betas (β_L) of the comparable toll companies will then be unlevered to remove the financial risk component (i.e., debt), thus leaving the business risk component of the beta, by using the following formula:

$$\beta_U = \beta_L \times \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left((1 - T) \times \left(\frac{D}{E} \right) \right)} \right]$$

Table 9. Unlevered beta (β_{U}) computation variables

Variable	Description			
$\boldsymbol{\beta}_L$	Levered beta (i.e., equity beta) is used to compare the volatility of			
	returns of a company's stock against the international market. This			
	measures the risk while taking into consideration the impact of the			

Variable	Description
	company's capital structure and leverage. The riskier the project,
	the higher the equity beta (i.e., greater than 1).
$oldsymbol{eta}_{oldsymbol{U}}$	Unlevered beta (i.e., asset beta) is the beta of a company without
	the impact of financial leverage (e.g., debt). This measures the
	volatility of an unlevered company in relation to the market.
D	Debt-to-equity ratio or the capital structure of each toll expressway
\overline{E}	concessionaire, which can be obtained from Reuters/Bloomberg
	websites or the Bloomberg Terminal. This is the total debt (i.e., both
	short and long term) divided by total shareholders' equity. 14
T	Tax rate is the rate imposed on the taxable income of corporations.
	The taxable income is calculated as corporate receipts less
	deductions for labor costs, materials, and depreciation of capital
	assets ¹⁵ . This can be obtained from Reuters/Bloomberg websites or
	the Bloomberg Terminal.

Using the levered betas (β_L) identified in **Table 8** and the pertinent financial information, such as statutory tax rates and capital structures (i.e., debt-to-equity ratio) gathered from the Bloomberg Terminal, the unlevered betas (β_U) for each foreign toll expressway concessionaire were calculated, as summarized in **Table 10**.

Table 10. Unlevered betas (β_U) of toll expressway concessionaires in the Asia Pacific Region

	Bloom	berg Terr	minal data	NEDA computation
Company name	D/E	Tax	Levered	Unlevered beta
	D/E	rate	beta (β_L)	(β _U)
1. Anhui Expressway Co Ltd	0.19	25.00	0.82	0.72
2. Anhui Gourgen Traffic Construction Co Ltd	1.07	25.00	1.16	0.65
3. Chengdu Expressway Co Ltd	0.79	25.00	0.42	0.26
4. China Communications Construction Co Ltd	1.22	25.00	0.93	0.49
5. Chongqing Construction Eng'g Group Corp Ltd	1.75	15.00	1.11	0.45
6. Chongqing Road & Bridge Co Ltd	0.59	15.00	0.99	0.66
7. City Development Environment Co Ltd	0.99	25.00	1.01	0.58
8. Dongguan Development Holdings Co Ltd	0.38	25.00	0.93	0.73
9. Fujian Expressway Development Co Ltd	0.19	25.00	0.77	0.67
10. Guangdong Provincial Expressway Dev't Co Ltd	0.67	25.00	0.55	0.36
11. Guangxi Wuzhou Communications Co Ltd	0.97	25.00	1.00	0.58
12. Henan Zhongyuan Expressway Co Ltd	2.66	25.00	0.97	0.32
13. Huayu Expressway Group Ltd	1.68	23.54	0.49	0.21
14. Hubei Chutian Smart Communication Co Ltd	0.84	25.00	0.82	0.50
15. Hunan Investment Group Co Ltd	0.08	25.00	0.87	0.82
16. Jiangsu Expressway Co Ltd	0.74	24.98	0.60	0.39
17. Jiangxi Ganyue Expressway Co Ltd	0.61	25.00	0.84	0.58

 $^{^{14}}$ RR732 – Total debt to total equity definition. Retrieved from the Bloomberg Terminal

NEDA Infrastructure Staff

 $^{^{15}\,}$ IM156 – Statutory tax rate definition. Retrieved from the Bloomberg Terminal

Company name		perg Terr	minal data	NEDA computation
		Tax	Levered	Unlevered beta
		rate	beta (β_L)	(β _U)
18. Shandong Hi-speed Co Ltd	1.22	25.00	0.89	0.46
19. Shenzhen Expressway Co Ltd	0.67	25.00	0.76	0.51
20. Sichuan Expressway Co Ltd	1.12	15.99	0.82	0.42
21. Zhejiang Expressway Co Ltd	1.41	25.00	0.93	0.45
22. Citra Marga Nusaphala Persada Tbk PT	0.68	25.00	0.50	0.33
23. Jasa Marga Persero Tbk PT	2.56	22.00	1.22	0.41
24. Nusantara Infrastructure Tbk PT	0.42	25.00	0.87	0.66
25. Dilip Buildcon Ltd	2.53	30.00	1.10	0.40
26. IRB Infrastructure Developers Ltd	1.37	30.00	1.22	0.62
27. KNR Constructions Ltd	0.52	30.00	0.87	0.64
28. MEP Infrastructure Developers Ltd	9.52	30.00	1.05	0.14
29. Lingkaran Trans Kota Holdings Bhd	0.73	24.00	0.57	0.37
30. Bangkok Expressway & Metro PCL	1.82	20.00	0.97	0.39
31. CII Bridges & Roads JSC	1.38	20.00	0.42	0.20
32. Cuongthuan Investment Corp	2.05	20.00	0.66	0.25
33. Hai Van Tunnel Management and Operation JSC	2.86	20.00	0.95	0.29
34. IDICO Infrastructure Dev't Investment JSC	2.10	20.00	0.54	0.20
35. Tasco JSC	1.86	20.00	1.00	0.40

iv. Step 4. Lever the beta for the project's financial risk. The calculated unlevered betas will then be re-levered to the debt-to-equity ratio of the project proponent/concessionaire and tax rate by using the following formula as derived from the formula in item 8.b.iii:

$$\beta_L = \beta_U \, x \, (1 + ((1 - T) \, x \, (D \, / \, E)))$$

The table below summarized the calculated relevered betas (β_L) using 70:30 16 debt-to-equity ratio and 30 percent tax rate.

Table 11. Re-levered betas (β_L) of toll expressway concessionaires in the Asia Pacific Region

			Bloomberg Terminal Data			NEDA computation	
	Company name	D/E	Tax	Levered	Unlevered	Relevered	
			rate	beta (β_L)	beta ($\beta_{\rm U}$)	beta (β_L)	
1.	Anhui Expressway Co Ltd	0.19	25.00	0.82	0.72	1.90	
2.	Anhui Gourgen Traffic Construction Co Ltd	1.07	25.00	1.16	0.65	1.70	
3.	Chengdu Expressway Co Ltd	0.79	25.00	0.42	0.26	0.69	
4.	China Communications Construction Co Ltd	1.22	25.00	0.93	0.49	1.28	
5.	Chongqing Construction Eng'g Group Corp Ltd	1.75	15.00	1.11	0.45	1.18	

¹⁶ Assumed for illustration purposes but should be determined based on the capital structure of the project concessionaire based on the submitted financial statements

Company name		perg Terr	ninal Data	NEDA computation	
		Tax	Levered	Unlevered	Relevered
		rate	beta (β_L)	beta ($\beta_{\rm U}$)	beta (β_L)
6. Chongqing Road & Bridge Co Ltd	0.59	15.00	0.99	0.66	1.73
7. City Development Environment Co Ltd	0.99	25.00	1.01	0.58	1.53
8. Dongguan Development Holdings Co Ltd	0.38	25.00	0.93	0.73	1.92
9. Fujian Expressway Development Co Ltd	0.19	25.00	0.77	0.67	1.77
10. Guangdong Provincial Expressway Dev't Co Ltd	0.67	25.00	0.55	0.36	0.96
11. Guangxi Wuzhou Communications Co Ltd	0.97	25.00	1.00	0.58	1.53
12. Henan Zhongyuan Expressway Co Ltd	2.66	25.00	0.97	0.32	0.85
13. Huayu Expressway Group Ltd	1.68	23.54	0.49	0.21	0.56
14. Hubei Chutian Smart Communication Co Ltd	0.84	25.00	0.82	0.50	1.32
15. Hunan Investment Group Co Ltd	0.08	25.00	0.87	0.82	2.16
16. Jiangsu Expressway Co Ltd	0.74	24.98	0.60	0.39	1.02
17. Jiangxi Ganyue Expressway Co Ltd	0.61	25.00	0.84	0.58	1.52
18. Shandong Hi-speed Co Ltd	1.22	25.00	0.89	0.46	1.22
19. Shenzhen Expressway Co Ltd		25.00	0.76	0.51	1.34
20. Sichuan Expressway Co Ltd		15.99	0.82	0.42	1.11
21. Zhejiang Expressway Co Ltd		25.00	0.93	0.45	1.19
22. Citra Marga Nusaphala Persada Tbk PT		25.00	0.50	0.33	0.86
23. Jasa Marga Persero Tbk PT	2.56	22.00	1.22	0.41	1.07
24. Nusantara Infrastructure Tbk PT	0.42	25.00	0.87	0.66	1.73
25. Dilip Buildcon Ltd	2.53	30.00	1.10	0.40	1.04
26. IRB Infrastructure Developers Ltd	1.37	30.00	1.22	0.62	1.63
27. KNR Constructions Ltd	0.52	30.00	0.87	0.64	1.68
28. MEP Infrastructure Developers Ltd	9.52	30.00	1.05	0.14	0.36
29. Lingkaran Trans Kota Holdings Bhd	0.73	24.00	0.57	0.37	0.97
30. Bangkok Expressway & Metro PCL	1.82	20.00	0.97	0.39	1.04
31. CII Bridges & Roads JSC		20.00	0.42	0.20	0.52
32. Cuongthuan Investment Corp	2.05	20.00	0.66	0.25	0.66
33. Hai Van Tunnel Management and Operation JSC	2.86	20.00	0.95	0.29	0.76
34. IDICO Infrastructure Dev't Investment JSC	2.10	20.00	0.54	0.20	0.53
35. Tasco JSC	1.86	20.00	1.00	0.40	1.05
				Median	1.18

The calculated median of re-levered beta (i.e., **1.18**) will be used in estimating the cost of capital in this exercise.

c. Expected risk premium (ERP), $[K_E = R_F + (\beta_E \times ERP)]$. ERP is the additional return or premium demanded by investors to compensate them for the risk associated with investing in a project. The following are some of the methods in estimating ERP:

Table 12. Methods in estimating ERP

	Method	Description
i.	Historical ERP	This is a backward-looking approach wherein the actual ERP observed over a long period of time, including full bear and bull market cycles ¹⁷ , is assumed as a good indicator of the expected ERP. This is the difference between the historical risk-free rate (R_F) and the expected or average market return (R_M), as provided in the formula below: $ERP = R_M - R_F$
		One of the limitations of this method, however, is that the level of risk of the stock index may change over time.
ii.	Dividend discount model (DDM) ¹⁸	This is a quantitative method of valuing a company's stock price based on the assumption that the current stock market price is equal to the present value of all the company's future dividends.
iii.	Macroeconomic model	This a top-down approach based on the assumption that the expected market return (RM) and/or ERP is a function of macroeconomic indicators [e.g., Gross Domestic Product (GDP), inflation rate, price to earnings (P/E), among others].

By using methods 1 and 2, the calculated ERP to be used in estimating the cost of equity (K_E) is **6.46 percent**, i.e., the average result of methods 1 and 2, as detailed below:

i. Method 1: Historical ERP. ERP was calculated by gathering the average risk-free rate (R_F) and expected market return (R_M) from March 2011 to April 2021 in the Bloomberg Terminal. The summary of the result is provided in **Table 13**.

Table 13. Historical ERP

	Variables	Rate, in percent
a.	Expected market return (R _M)	9.20
	Average value from March 2011 to April 2021	9.20
b.	Risk-free rate (R _F)	L
	Average value from March 2011 to April 2021	5.50
	Historical ERP	3.70

¹⁷ A bear market is a period characterized by a sustained fall in stock prices, thus investors are willing to pay more for stocks, expecting stock prices to continue increasing. On the other hand, a bull market is a period characterized by a sustained rise in stock prices.

Dividend discount model - definition, formulas and variations. (2020, September 26). Retrieved April 29, 2021, from https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/knowledge/valuation/dividend-discount-model/

ii. Method 2: Dividend discount model (DDM). DDM is one of the basic valuation proprietary functions in Bloomberg Terminal, providing calculations for stages of growth, interest rates, risk premiums and growth rate. The result of the DDM computation in Bloomberg as of April 30, 2021 is provided in the table below.

Table 14. Dividend discount model (DDM)

	Variables	Rate, in percent
a.	Dividend yield	1.56
b.	Growth rate	18.65
c.	Dividend payout ratio	35.24
d.	Expected market return (R _M)	13.57
e.	Risk-free rate (R _F)	4.13
	ERP	9.22

9. Cost of equity (K_E) . Using the estimated beta of equity, ERP, and the risk-free rate, the cost of equity (K_E) is calculated using the following formula:

$$K_E = R_F + (\beta_E \times ERP)$$

Table 15. Cost of equity (K_E) computation

Variable Risk-free rate, R _F		(re-levered) Beta	ERP	Cost of
	(10-year R _F rate	of equity, (β_E)	(Average of	equity (K _E)
	as of April 2021)	(As of April 2021)	methods 1 and 2)	
	Α	В	С	A + (B x C)
Rate (in percent)	4.13	1.18	6.46	11.75

Estimating the cost of capital
$$\left[WACC = \left(\left(K_D \times \frac{D}{A}\right) \times (1-t)\right) + \left(K_E \times \frac{E}{A}\right)\right]$$

10. The calculated WACC is **5.78 percent** using the above formula and using all the financial data and information gathered, as summarized in the table below:

Table 16. Summary of financial information for WACC computation

				<u>-</u>
	Variables	Unit	Value	Remarks
_	Cost of dobt (V.)	norcont	1.61	This is the sum of the risk-free rate and credit
a.	Cost of debt (K _D)	percent	4.61	spread. (See item 7)
	: Diale from rate (D.)	percent	4.13	This is based on the latest 10-year PH BVAL risk-
	i. Risk-free rate (R₅)			free rate. (See item 6.a)
	:: Cuadit annand	no woo no t	0.48	This is based on the latest available loan rates in
	ii. Credit spread	redit spread percent		PDS website. (See item 6.b)

Variables	Unit	Value	Remarks
b. Cost of equity (K _E)	percent	11.75	This is calculated using the same risk-free rate as
b. Cost of equity (RE)	percent	11./5	used in cost of debt. (See item 8)
i Pota of equity (R-)	unit	1.18	This is the re-levered Beta calculated using pure-
i. Beta of equity ($eta_{\!\scriptscriptstyle E}$)	unit		play method. (See item 8.b)
ii. Expected risk	k percent 6.46		This is the average ERP based on the result of the
premium (ERP)	percent	0.40	historical ERP and DDM methods. (See item 8.c)
c. Tax rate	percent	percent 30.00	This is an assumed corporate income tax rate ¹⁹ in
c. Tax rate			the Philippines.
d. Weight of debt, D/A	percent	70.00	This is an assumed gearing ratio or capital
e. Weight of equity, E/A	percent	30.00	structure for a project.
			This is the calculated weighted average cost of
Cost of capital (WACC)	percent	5.78	capital as of the latest available financial
			information used in this exercise.

11. Based on the sample computation, the estimated WACC of local toll companies assuming a D/E of 70:30, is **5.78 percent**.

¹⁹ Income tax - Bureau of Internal Revenue. Retrieved April 30, 2021, from https://www.bir.gov.ph/index.php/tax-information/income-tax.html